### XMM-Newton CCF Release Note

#### XMM-CCF-REL-361

## Astrometry: time variable boresight. 2018 update.

S. Rosen, E. Verdugo and P. Rodríguez

December 19, 2018

#### 1 CCF components

Name of CCF	VALDATE	List	of	Blocks	CAL VERSION	XSCS flag
		change	$^{\mathrm{ed}}$			
XMM_BORESIGHT_0029	2000-01-01T00:00:00	OM_ANGVAR				No
		EMOS1_ANGVAR				
		EMOS2_ANGVAR				
		EPN_ANGVAR				
		RGS1_ANGVAR				
		RGS2_	ANG	GVAR		

# 2 Changes

The XMM-Newton Time Variable Boresight was implemented in 2012. It is described in the release notes XMM-CCF-REL-286 and XMM-CCF-REL-290.

The extrapolations made to derive corrections to the Euler angles based on past data imply that new updates of these corrections may be necessary from time to time. Updates were made in 2014, 2015 and 2017, each update taking into account new data obtained after the previous one. They were implemented in XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0024.CCF, XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0025.CCF (slighly modified into XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0026.CCF), XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0027.CCF and the last one XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0028.CCF (see XMM-CCF-REL-315, XMM-CCF-REL-330, XMM-CCF-REL-332, XMM-CCF-REL-343 and XMM-CCF-REL-351).

The existing CCF elements allow an extrapolation of the offset trend to be made but as new observations arrive, after some time we witness systematic deviations from the predicted offsets, particularly for the EPIC instruments. Therefore it is necessary to produce a new update using the most recent data.

xmm-newton

Tabl	e 1:	Best-fit	parameters	$_{\rm impl}$	lemented	in	this	CCF.
------	------	----------	------------	---------------	----------	----	------	------

Instrument/coordinate	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$	$P_5$	$P_6$	$P_7$
EPIC/Y		$-0.64 \times 10^{-3}$		$-1.7 \times 10^{-11}$		-13.58	364.0
$\mathrm{EPIC/Z}$				$-7.8 \times 10^{-12}$	+1.35	-8.28	364.8
OM/X	-1.57	$+1.03 \times 10^{-3}$			-1.02	-11.25	364.5
OM/Y	-2.04	$+1.66 \times 10^{-3}$	$-1.23 \times 10^{-7}$		+0.81	-11.82	364.1

As previously, we have analyzed the astrometry offsets derived from the pipeline PPS sources lists for the EPIC and OM instruments, adding to the previous data set the observations obtained until November 14, 2018. We have modeled the offsets variation with time by means of a long term variation plus a periodic (nearly one year) oscillation (Talavera & Rodríguez-Pascual [1]).

To avoid large deviations in the extrapolation, for EPIC we have used the IDL function TS\_FCAST(X,P,Nval), where X are the fitted values up to November 14, 2018 (Rev. 3467), P=840 and N=420.

As explained in XMM-CCF-REL-290, the same offsets obtained for EPIC can be used to process RGS data.

$$\Delta = (P_1 + P_2 \times T + P_3 \times T^2) + P_4 T^3) + P_5 \times \cos[2\pi \times (T - P_6)/P_7)]$$

where  $\Delta$  is the measured offset and T is the time in Julian days elapsed since January 1, 2000. The new best-fit parameters are given in Tab.1. As before, the long term component for the EPIC is fitted with a third order polynomial, while for OM the polynomial is of second order.

#### 3 Scientific Impact of this Update

The release notes XMM-CCF-REL-286 and XMM-CCF-REL-290 explain in detail the improvements in the astrometry achieved with the Time Variable Boresight.

Although the corrections derived are very small, we annually update the model parameters to minimise the growth of significant deviations in the near ( $\sim 1$  year) future.

We show in Figures 1 and 2 the offsets and the fitted corrections. The differences between XMM\_BORESIGHT\_0028.CCF and this new CCF can be seen there.






Figure 1: OM measured offsets and fit: in blue CCF\_0028, in red CCF\_0029




Figure 2: EPIC measured offsets and fit: in blue CCF\_0028, in red CCF\_0029



#### 4 Estimated Scientific Quality

The quality of the corrections can be assessed by comparing the catalog offsets obtained with the constant and the new variable boresight. This comparison was presented in the previous release notes, XMM-CCF-REL-286 and XMM-CCF-REL-290.

#### 5 Test procedures

The concept of time variable boresight and its implementation were intensively tested in their first issue. At that time more than 4000 observations obtained since the beginning of the XMM-Newton operational life were processed with SAS using the new concept CCF.

Since this new release implements just a small increment in the variation of the Euler angles offsets, we have processed only a couple of recent ODFs to confirm the normal functioning of the related SAS tasks.

## 6 Summary of the test results

As said before, the tests results can be seen in XMM-CCF-REL-286 and XMM-CCF-REL-290.

# 7 Expected updates

The fit to the long term trend observed in the measured offsets assumes an extrapolation beyond the available data. This update provides offsets untill 2021. However, following our experience these offsets will deviate from the correct trend in about one year. Therefore we shall continue monitoring the offsets in the future to confirm the predicted trend or to modify the fit as we have been doing with the last updates.

#### References

[1] Talavera A., Rodríguez-Pascual P., 2011, XMM-SOC-TN-0041, available at:

http://xmm2.esac.esa.int/~xmmdoc/CoCo/CCB/DOC/Attachments/INST-TN-0041-1-0.pdf.